

## UNITED STATES DESCRIPTION OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. R ACD-2-0016-1 **HENRY** 09/515,948 02/29/00 **EXAMINER** IM52/0522 TIMOTHY E NAUMAN ESQ YOON, T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FAY SHARPE FAGAN MIINICH & MCKEE 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 700 1714 CLEVELAND OH 44114-2518 **DATE MAILED:** 05/22/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	09/5/5	PUH		HONN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	1 10		Group Art Unit		
		Yin	n	1714		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover s	heet bei	neath the c	<del>' ' ' / /</del>	nddress —	
Period for Reply	1	· ! _ <b>_</b>				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	ree	_ MONTH(	S) FROM THE MA	AILING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defar</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	reply within the statu ilt, expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the app	rtory minir NTHS fror lication to	mum of thirty ( m the mailing of become ABA	30) days will be cons date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C.	sidered timely. ication. § 133).	
Status	<b>51</b>					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				·	
☐ This action is FINAL.						
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>			ecution as	to the merits is	<b>closed</b> in	
Disposition of Claims						
X Claim(s) 1-30			is/are	_ is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s) 12-14				is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
			is/are	allowed.		
Claim(s) $1-4$ , $1-9$ , $15-23$ , $25-29$ Claim(s) $5$ , $6$ , $10$ , $11$ , $24$ , $30$			is/are	_ is/are rejected.		
			!- /	objected to		
Claim(s) 5, 6, 10, 11, 24, 30		<del></del>	is/are	objected to.		
☐ Claim(s) 5, 6, 10, 11, 24, 30			are su	bject to restriction	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	·		are sul require	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)Application Papers	is □ app	roved [	are sul require	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ app	roved [	are sul require	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ app	roved [	are sul require	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ app	roved [	are sul require	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ app	roved [	are sul require □ disapprov	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ app	roved [	are sul require □ disapprov	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is □ app cted to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. §	roved [	are sul require □ disapprov	bject to restriction ement	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is apported to by the Example cted to be apported to be a	roved [ aminer	are sul require □ disapprov	bject to restriction ement ed.	or election	
□ Claim(s)	is □ app cted to by the Exa under 35 U.S.C. § received. received in Applic	roved [aminer]	are sul require □ disapprov	bject to restriction ement ed.	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is apported to by the Example to by the Example to by the Example to the Example	roved [aminer] 3 119 (a)- cation Noteived	me sui require disapprov (d).	oject to restriction ement ed.	or election	
☐ Claim(s)	is apported to by the Example to by the Example to by the Example to the Example	roved [aminer] 3 119 (a)- cation Noteived	me sui require disapprov (d).	oject to restriction ement ed.	or election	
□ Claim(s)	is apported to by the Example tend to by the Example tend of the Example tends of the Example	roved [aminer aminer am	are sul require  disapprov  (d).	oject to restriction ement ed.	or election	
□ Claim(s)	is apported to by the Example tend to by the Example tend of the Example tends of the Example	roved [aminer aminer am	are sul require □ disapprov □ (d). □ (d). □ (a))	pject to restriction ment ed.		
□ Claim(s)	is apported to by the Example tend to by the Example tend of the Example tends of the Example	roved [aminer] aminer ation Noteived ule 17.2(a	are sui require ☐ disapprov  (d).  (d).  terview Sum otice of Infor	oject to restriction ement ed.	eation, PTO-152	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/515,948

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is C-I-P filed on February 29, 2000, and contains new matter, reactive VOC solvents, which was not disclosed in the parent case 09/022,779, now US Pat. 6,048,471. Therefore, US Pat. 6,187,736 filed on march 11, 1998 is a vaild prior art.

Applicant's election of Claims 1-11 and 15-30 without traverse is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 8, 17, 19, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited "napthenic" in claims 1, 19, 23 and 25 is incorrect, and should be "naphthenic". Also, "13) ntroparaffins" in claim 23 should be "nitroparaffins".

Improper Markush language is recited in claims 4 and 8, and proper format is "--- selected from the group consisting of ---".

The recited "---one resin ---of styrene-butadiene, polychloroprene, polyvinyl chloride, acrylic, epoxy, urethane, nitorcellulose, and styrene" in claims 4 and 17 is confusing since it lacks consistency. The preamble recites "resin" which means a polymer, but the recited species are

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/525,948

Art Unit: 1714

monomers such as styrene-butadiene or styrene and polymers such as <u>poly</u>chloroprene or <u>poly</u>vinyl chloride. Corrections are needed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jarema (US 6,187,736).

Jarema teaches solvent mixtures containing t-butyl acetate at cols. 3 & 4 and in table 1.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Application/Control Number: 09/515,948

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1-3 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato et al (US 5,753,727).

Sato et al teach the instant compositions in table 1. A mixing of solvents meets the instantly claimed mixing since % by volume of one solvent is changed by mxing other solvent(s).

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 1-4, 7-9, 15-23 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato et al (US 5,753,727) in view of Jarema (US 6,187,736) or Ochiai et al (US 5,916,713).

The instant invention further recites *t*-butylacetate over Sato et al who teach various ester solvents such as ethyl acetate, butyl acetate and the like at col. 4, lines 21-22. Jarema teaches *t*-butyl acetate as a solvent, and Ochiai et al teach various solvents such as (n, sec, t)-butylacetate at col. 14, line 16.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize *t*-butyl acetate of Jarema or Ochiai et al in Sato et al as an ester solvent since Sato et al teach various ester solvents which is well known in the art as evidenced by Jarema and Ochiai et al.

Claims 1-3 and 15-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mori et al (US 5,252,668).

Application/Control Number: 09/515,948 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

Mori et al teach the instant compositions in table 1. Various amounts of the solvent is claimed in claim 3.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 5, 6, 10, 11, 24 and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Also, claim 25 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action and if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

THY/May 18, 2001

TAÉ H. YOON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tack IM